My Cancer Patient Has a Clot-Can I prescribe a Direct Oral Anticoagulant (DOAC)?

> November 24, 2018 Erica Peterson MD, FRCPC University of British Columbia

#### Disclosures

 I participate in clinical research investigating the use of apixaban in the prevention of cancer-associated thrombosis

I have received honororia from Leo pharma, Sanofi and Bayer

# **Mitigating Potential Bias**

 All treatment alternatives will be discussed during the presentation

## **Objectives**

 Brief review DOAC data in non-cancer patients and DOAC pharmacology

 Review the evidence for DOACs in cancerassociated VTE (CAT)

Discuss optimal anticoagulant choice in CAT

## **Cancer-Associated VTE (CAT)**

- ~20% of all VTE are associated with cancer
- VTE is associated with higher mortality
  - > 2nd leading cause of death in cancer patients
- Significant burden on the health care community
  - Increased hospitalization
  - Health care costs and resource utilization

#### Can cause treatment discontinuation/delays

#### Case – Mr. J.S.

▶ 79 y.o. male with PMHx HTN and diabetes

- Developed bloating and abdominal discomfort in late 2017
  - ► CT February 2018 → new pancreatic mass consistent with pancreatic adenoCA
- Mass felt to be resectable therefore patient taken to the OR in March 2018
  - Intra-operative frozen sections positive for peritoneal and omental spread

#### Case – Mr. J.S.

- Started on palliative chemotherapy with gemcitabine and abraxane
- 2 months later develops right calf pain and dyspnea on exertion
  - Hemodynamically stable
  - Hemoglobin 110, platelets 200, eGFR 37 mL/min
  - US  $\rightarrow$  right calf vein DVT
  - ► CT chest → bilateral segmental PE, no right heart strain

#### **Case – Anticoagulant Options**

Anticoagulant options for Mr. J.S.

- DOAC
- LWMH
- LMWH (or UFH) bridging to warfarin

#### **Case – Anticoagulant options**

You discuss anticoagulation with Mr. J.S.

He asks you if he can use this new medication he saw on CNN

#### **Case – Anticoagulant Options**

> You discuss anticoagulation with Mr. PE.

- He asks you if he can use this new medication he saw on CNN
  - After all his favorite golfer was in the commercial!



#### Are direct oral anticoagulants a suitable option?

- LMWH was previously the standard of care for cancer-associated VTE
- Recommendations for LMWH largely based on results of open-label RCTs
  - CANTHANOX study: enoxaparin vs. warfarin
  - CLOT study: dalteparin vs. warfarin/acenocoumarol
  - **LITE** study: tinzaparin vs. warfarin
  - **CATCH** study: tinzaparin vs. warfarin

- LMWH is more effective then warfarin for the prevention of recurrent VTE
   RR 0.58 (95% CI 0.43 0.77)
- LMWH has a safety profile similar to that of warfarin for major bleeding
  - ▶ **RR 1.09** (95% CI 0.55 2.12)

- LMWH is more effective then warfarin for the prevention of recurrent VTE
   RR 0.58 (95% CI 0.43 0.77)
- LMWH has a safety profile similar to that of warfarin for major bleeding
  - ▶ **RR 1.09** (95% CI 0.55 2.12)

# LMWH is efficacious, safe, has no chemotherapy/food interactions and does not rely on oral intake

- LMWH is more effective then warfarin for the prevention of recurrent VTE
   RR 0.58 (95% CI 0.43 0.77)
- LMWH has a safety profile similar to that of warfarin for major bleeding
  - ▶ **RR 1.09** (0.55 2.12)

#### **BUT... LMWH is administered parenterally and is costly**

### **DOACs in Canada**

- DOACs are approved in Canada for
  - VTE prophylaxis in total hip/knee replacement
  - Prevention of stroke in non-valvular atrial fibrillation
  - VTE treatment
- DOACs currently available in Canada include
  - Dabigatran Anti-thrombin
  - Rivaroxaban ]
  - Apixaban
    Edoxaban Anti-Xa

	Dabigatran	Rivaroxaban	Apixaban	Edoxaban	Warfarin
Target	Thrombin	Factor Xa	Factor Xa	Factor Xa	Vit K dependent factors
Action onset	1 – 3 h	2 – 4 h	1 – 2 h	1-2 h	4-5 days
Half life	14-17 h	7-11 h	8-14 h	9-11 h	40 h
Renal clearance	80%	33%	27%	50%	0%
Dosing	BID	OD (BID)	BID	OD	OD
Lab monitoring	No	No	No	No	YES
Antidote	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES
Drug interactions	P-gp	P-gp CYP3A4	P-gp CYP3A4	P-gp	MANY

	Dabigatran	Rivaroxaban	Apixaban	Edoxaban
Target	Thrombin	Factor Xa	Factor Xa	Factor Xa
Action onset	1 – 3 h	2 – 4 h	1 – 2 h	1-2 h
Half life	14-17 h	7-11 h	8-14 h	9-11 h
Renal clearance	80%	33%	27%	50%
Dosing	BID	OD (BID)	BID	OD
Lab monitoring	No	No	No	No
Antidote	YES	NO	NO	NO
Drug interactions	P-gp	P-gp/CYP3A4	P- gp/CYP3A4	P-gp

Compared to warfarin DOACs are Fast acting

	Dabigatran	Rivaroxaban	Apixaban	Edoxaban
Target	Thrombin	Factor Xa	Factor Xa	Factor Xa
Action onset	1 – 3 h	2 – 4 h	1 – 2 h	1-2 h
Half life	14-17 h	7-11 h	8-14 h	9-11 h
Renal clearance	80%	33%	27%	50%
Dosing	BID	OD (BID)	BID	OD
Lab monitoring	No	No	No	No
Antidote	YES	NO	NO	NO
Drug interactions	P-gp	P-gp/CYP3A4	P-gp/CYP3A4	P-gp

Compared to warfarin DOACs have a — Short half life

	Dabigatran	Rivaroxaban	Apixaban	Edoxaban	
Target	Thrombin	Factor Xa	Factor Xa	Factor Xa	
Action onset	1 – 3 h	2 – 4 h	1 – 2 h	1-2 h	
Half life	14-17 h	7-11 h	8-14 h	9-11 h	
Renal clearance	80%	33%	27%	50%	-
Dosing	BID	OD (BID)	BID	OD	
Lab monitoring	No	No	No	No	
Antidote	YES	NO	NO	NO	
Drug interactions	P-gp	P-gp/CYP3A4	P-gp/CYP3A4	P-gp	

Compared to warfarin DOACs are

Renally cleared

	Dabigatran	Rivaroxaban	Apixaban	Edoxaban	
Target	Thrombin	Factor Xa	Factor Xa	Factor Xa	
Action onset	1 – 3 h	2 – 4 h	1 – 2 h	1-2 h	
Half life	14-17 h	7-11 h	8-14 h	9-11 h	
Renal clearance	80%	33%	27%	50%	
Dosing	BID	OD (BID)	BID	OD	
Lab monitoring	No	No	No	No	+
Antidote	YES	NO	NO	NO	
Drug interactions	P-gp	P-gp/CYP3A4	P-gp/CYP3A4	P-gp	

Compared to warfarin DOACs

Do not – require lab monitoring

	Dabigatran	Rivaroxaban	Apixaban	Edoxaban
Target	Thrombin	Factor Xa	Factor Xa	Factor Xa
Action onset	1 – 3 h	2 – 4 h	1 – 2 h	1-2 h
Half life	14-17 h	7-11 h	8-14 h	9-11 h
Renal clearance	80%	33%	27%	50%
Dosing	BID	OD (BID)	BID	OD
Lab monitoring	No	No	No	No
Antidote	YES	? soon	? soon	? soon
Drug interactions	P-gp	P-gp/CYP3A4	P-gp/CYP3A4	P-gp

Compared to warfarin DOACs

> Idarucizumab (Dabigatran)

Andexanet (Factor Xa inhibitors)

	Dabigatran	Rivaroxaban	Apixaban	Edoxaban
Target	Thrombin	Factor Xa	Factor Xa	Factor Xa
Action onset	1 – 3 h	2 – 4 h	1 – 2 h	1-2 h
Half life	14-17 h	7-11 h	8-14 h	9-11 h
Renal clearance	80%	33%	27%	50%
Dosing	BID	OD (BID)	BID	OD
Lab monitoring	No	No	No	No
Antidote	YES	NO	NO	NO
Drug interactions	P-gp	P-gp/CYP3A4	P-gp/CYP3A4	P-gp

Compared to warfarin DOACs have

Few drug interactions

#### **DOAC Drug Interactions**

antifungals ritonavir amiodarone verapamil clarithromycin quinidine tamoxifen TKIs cyclosporin tacrolimus Inhibitors

**Increase DOAC Levels** 

#### Inducers

rifampicin phenytoin carbamazepine phenobarbitone dexamethasone doxorubicin vinblastine St. John's wort

**Decrease DOAC Levels** 

#### **DOAC Absorption - Location**

#### Apixaban

Throughout the GI tract (55% in distal SB/proximal colon)

#### Edoxaban

Proximal small intestine

#### Rivaroxaban

• Stomach (Cmax  $\downarrow$  by 56% if delivered directly in SB)

#### Dabigatran

- Stomach and proximal small intestine
- Capsules cannot be crushed/broken/chewed

#### **Rivaroxaban Absorption - Food Effect**



#### **DOAC Absorption - GI Surgery**

#### Limited data (Case reports/case series)

DOAC	Surgical intervention				
	Total gastrectomy	Partial gastrectomy	RYGB	Distal resection and SBS	Colectomy
Rivaroxaban	Reduced up to 56% Jans- sen Pharmaceuticals Inc [34]	Possibly reduced	Possibly reduced	Unlikely affected four cases Christensen et al. [37], Douros et al. [45]	Unlikely affected
Dabigatran	Possibly reduced	Possibly reduced	Possibly reduced one case Daniel Lee et al. [44]	Possibly reduced one case Douros et al. [45]	Unlikely affected
Apixaban	Unlikely affected	Unlikely affected	Unlikely affected	Possibly reduced	Possibly reduced
Edoxaban	Possibly reduced	Possibly reduced	Possibly reduced	Unlikely affected	Unlikely affected

DOACs direct acting oral anticoagulants, SBS short bowel syndrome, RYGB Roux-en-Y gastric bypass

## **Advantages of DOACs**

Feature	Warfarin	DOACs
Onset	Slow	Rapid
Offset	Long	Short
Dosing	Variable	Fixed
Food effect	Yes	No
Lab monitoring	Yes	Not required
Drug interactions	Many	Few

#### Phase III Studies: DOAC vs. warfarin

#### Dabigatran: RE-COVER and RE-COVER II

#### Rivaroxaban: EINSTEIN DVT AND EINSTEIN PE



#### **Edoxaban: HOKUSAI**

**Apixaban: AMPLIFY** 



Schulman S NEJM 2009; Schulman S Circulation 2013; EINSTEIN Investigators NEJM 2012; EINSTEIN PE Investigators NEJM 2012; Prins MH Thrombosis Journal 2013; Agnelli G NEJM 2013; HOKUSAI-VTE Investigators NEJM 2013

#### DOACs vs. Warfarin – pooled data

#### **Recurrent VTE**



All DOACs non-inferior to warfarin for prevention of recurrent VTE RR 0.90 (95% CI 0.77-1.06)

Van Es N. et al Blood 2014

### DOACs vs. Warfarin – pooled data

#### **Major Bleeding**



Similar or reduced risk of major bleeding RR 0.61 (95% CI 0.45-0.83)

Van Es N. et al Blood 2014

### DOACs vs. Warfarin – pooled data

#### **Bleeding Subgroups**



#### Reduced risk of intracranial bleeding RR 0.63 (95% CI 0.21-0.68)

Van Es N. et al Blood 2014

What data exits for the use of DOACs in cancer patients?

- What data exits for the use of DOACs in cancer patients?
  - Subgroup analysis of DOAC vs. warfarin RCTs
  - Randomized studies of DOAC vs. LMWH in cancer population
  - Observational data

- What data exits for the use of DOACs in cancer patients?
  - Subgroup analysis of DOAC vs. warfarin RCTs
  - Randomized studies of DOAC vs. LMWH in cancer population
  - Observational data

## **Cancer Patients in Phase III Studies**

**Rivaroxaban: EINSTEIN DVT AND** 

EINSTEIN PE

## Dabigatran: RE-COVER and RE-COVER II

#### Rivaroxaban 15 mg BID x 21 days then **UFH/LMWH** Dabigatran 150 mg BID 20 mg OD R 6 months 3, 6 or 12 months R Enoxaparin UFH/LMWH Warfarin Warfarin + Warfarin + Warfarin 9.5% active cancer 9.2% active cancer **Edoxaban: HOKUSAI Apixaban: AMPLIFY** Apixaban 10mg BID x 1 week then 5 mg BID **UFH/LMWH** Edoxaban 60 mg PO daily R 3, 6 or 12 months 6 months R UFH/LMWH Enoxaparin Warfarin Warfarin + Warfarin + Warfarin 9.4% active cancer 5.3% active cancer

Schulman S NEJM 2009; Schulman S Circulation 2013; EINSTEIN Investigators NEJM 2012; EINSTEIN PE Investigators NEJM 2012; Agnelli G NEJM 2013; HOKUSAI-VTE Investigators NEJM 2013

# **Cancer Patients in Phase III Studies**

- All cancer patients were highly selected
  - Clinically well
  - No bleeding
  - No significant renal/hepatic dysfunction
  - Heterogeneous definition of active cancer

## **Cancer Patients in Phase III Studies**

- Meta-analysis of RECOVER, EINSTEIN, HOKUSAI and AMPLIFY studies
  - 1132 cancer patients included
  - DOACs did not show a significant reduction in the risk of recurrent cancer-associated VTE compared to Vitamin K antagonists
    - ▶ RR 0.66 (95% CI 0.39 1.11)

- What data exits for the use of DOACs in cancer patients?
  - Subgroup analysis of DOAC vs. warfarin RCTs
  - Randomized studies of DOAC vs. LMWH in a cancer population
  - Observational data



**Rivaroxaban: SELECT-D** 



- Open-label, non-inferiority study
- Primary outcome: composite of recurrent VTE or major bleeding
- Published 2017

- Open-label, pilot study
- Primary outcome: recurrent VTE
- Published 2018

#### Edoxaban: HOKUSAI VTE Cancer

#### **Inclusion Criteria**

- Active cancer or diagnosed within 2 years of randomization
- Objectively confirmed symptomatic or incidental proximal DVT/PE
- Age≥ 18 years
- Plan for 6 months of LMWH

#### **Rivaroxaban: SELECT-D**

#### **Inclusion Criteria**

- Active cancer
- Objectively confirmed symptomatic or incidental PE or symptomatic proximal DVT
- ECOG 1-2
- Age≥ 18 years
- Adequate hematologic function (hemoglobin and platelets >100)
- Adequate renal and hepatic function (LE < 3xULN and CrCl ≥30)</li>

Active cancer: diagnosed or treated within 6 months, metastatic or recurrent cancer, hematologic cancer not in remission

#### Edoxaban: HOKUSAI VTE Cancer

#### **Exclusion criteria**

- Active bleeding
- ECOG 3/4 (life expectancy <3 mo)
- CrCl < 30 mL/min
- •AST/ALT> 3x ULN or t bili>2x ULN
- Platelets <50
- Uncontrolled HTN
- Thrombolysis or IVC filter

#### Rivaroxaban: SELECT-D

#### **Exclusion criteria**

- Active bleeding
- Esophageal/GE junction cancer\*\*
- •Weight <40 kg
- AST/ALT> 3x ULN or significant hepatic disease
- Platelets <50
- Uncontrolled HTN

	Rivaroxaban (SELECT-D)	Edoxaban (HOKUSAI VTE Cancer)
Total Number of Patients	406	1046
Male Sex	52.7%	51.6%
Active Cancer	100%	97.9%
Metastatic disease	58.1%	52.9%
Incidental PE	52.4%	32.5%
Active Cancer Treatment	69.5%	72.4%

Raskob G.E. et al NEJM 2017; Young A. et al JCO 2018

	Rivaroxaban (SELECT-D)		Edoxaban (HOKUSAI VTE Cancer)	
Treatment	Riva	LWMH	Edox	LWMH
Number of Patients	203	203	522	524
Recurrent VTE or major bleeding			12.8%	13.5%
Recurrent VTE	4.0%	11.0%	7.9%	11.3%
Major bleeding	4.0%	3.0%	6.9%	4.0%
Major bleeding + CRNMB	17.0%	5.0%	18.6%	13.9%
Death	30.0%	26.0%	39.5%	36.6%

	Rivaroxaban (SELECT-D)		Edoxaban (HOKUSAI VTE Cancer)	
Treatment	Riva	LWMH	Edox	LWMH
Number of Patients	203	203	522	524
Recurrent VTE or major bleeding			12.8%	13.5%
Recurrent VTE	4.0%	11.0%	7.9%	11.3%
Major bleeding	4.0%	3.0%	6.9%	4.0%
Major bleeding + CRNMB	17.0%	5.0%	18.6%	13.9%
Death	30.0%	26.0%	39.5%	36.6%

#### Edoxaban non-inferior to LMWH for recurrent VTE or major bleeding HR 0.97 (95% CI 0.70-1.36)

Raskob G.E. et al NEJM 2017; Young A. et al JCO 2018

CRNMB: clinically relevant non-major bleeding

	Rivaroxaban (SELECT-D)		Edoxaban (HOKUSAI VTE Cancer)	
Treatment	Riva	LWMH	Edox	LWMH
Number of Patients	203	203	522	524
Recurrent VTE or major bleeding			12.8%	13.5%
Recurrent VTE	4.0%	11.0%	7.9%	11.3%
Major bleeding	4.0%	3.0%	6.9%	4.0%
CRNMB	13.0%	4.0%	14.6%	11.1%
Death	30.0%	26.0%	39.5%	36.6%

#### Edoxaban HR 0.71 (95% CI 0.48-1.06) Rivaroxaban HR 0.43 (95% CI 0.19 to 0.99)

Raskob G.E. et al NEJM 2017; Young A. et al JCO 2018

CRNMB: clinically relevant non-major bleeding

#### \*Most major and CRNMB bleeding events were GI bleeds

	Rivaroxaban (SELECT-D)		Edoxaban (HOKUSAI VTE Cancer)	
Treatment	Riva	LWMH	Edox	LWMH
Number of Patients	203	203	522	524
Recurrent VTE or major bleeding			12.8%	13.5%
Recurrent VTE	4.0%	11.0%	7.9%	11.3%
Major bleeding*	4.0%	3.0%	6.9%	4.0%
Major bleeding + CRNMB*	17.0%	5.0%	18.6%	13.9%
Death	30.0%	26.0%	39.5%	36.6%

#### Edoxaban significantly more major bleeding then LMWH Rivaroxaban significantly more CRNMB and CRNMB/MB then LMWH

# **Study Limitations**

#### Hokusai study

 Used non-validated score (in addition to ISTH criteria of major bleeding) to grade bleeding events

#### Select D study

- Pilot study
- Closed early
- Primary end-point adjudicated post-hoc
- Included "other" VTE in primary endpoint

# **DOAC Limitations in Cancer Patients**

- Unreliable administration and absorption in patients with n/v, diarrhea and mucosal erosion
- Higher risk of bleeding
- Liver and renal dysfunction is common in cancer patients
- Drug interactions may be clinically important
- Lack of readily available measurement in BC

# **DOAC Limitations in Cancer Patients**

- No antidote in Canada (except dabigatran)
- Paucity of clinical data
  - RCT data compared to LMWH only available for edoxaban and rivaroxaban

# **Upcoming RCTs**

#### Apixaban

CARAVAGGIO (1168 patients, completion June 2019)

#### Rivaroxaban

- CONKO\_011 (450 patents, completion Dec 2018)
- CASTA-DIVA (159 patients, completion April 2018)

# **Guidelines for CAT**

#### ACCP Guidelines (2016)

 In patients with DVT of the leg or PE and cancer as long-term (first 3 months) anticoagulant therapy, we suggest LMWH over VKA therapy (Grade 2C), dabigatran (Grade 2C), rivaroxaban (Grade 2C), apixaban (Grade 2C) or edoxaban (Grade 2C).

#### ASCO Guidelines (2015)

LMWH is recommended for the initial 5 to 10 days of treatment of established deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism as well as for long-term secondary prophylaxis for at least 6 months

# **Guidelines for CAT**

- NCCN Guidelines (2018)
  - Category 1: dalteparin, edoxaban
  - Category 2A: enoxaparin, rivaroxaban, fondaparinux, apixban, dabigatran
  - Category 2B: UFH

### Summary

DOACs (rivaroxaban and edoxaban) are an option for CAT

- Patients must be carefully selected
  - Low bleeding risk (should avoid in upper GI tumours)
  - No significant renal/hepatic dysfunction
  - No drug interactions
  - No significant nausea/vomiting

#### **Case – Anticoagulant Options**

Anticoagulant options for Mr. J.S.

- DOAC
- LWMH
- LMWH (or UFH) bridging to warfarin

#### **Case – Anticoagulant Options**

- Anticoagulant options for Mr. J.S.
  - DOAC
  - LWMH
  - LMWH (or UFH) bridging to warfarin

BUT... how is he going to pay for his anticoagulant?

## **Drug Coverage in BC**

#### Edoxaban

- No yet covered by pharmacare
- Cost 100-150\$ per month

#### Rivaroxaban

- Covered by pharmacare for first 6 months for acute VTE treatment (cost 100-150\$ per month)
- Patient must pay deductible
- No coverage beyond first 6 months (unless there is a patient specific contraindication to warfarin)

## **Drug Coverage in BC**

#### LMWH (dalteparin, tinzaparin)

- Covered for 6 months at a time (cost up to 1500\$ per month depending on dose)
- Patient must pay deductible
- Covered by pharmacare ONLY if warfarin cannot be used due to contraindication or drug interaction

#### **Questions?**