

Cervical Cancer Screening Program 2014 Annual Report



Table of Contents

1.0	Message 5
	Figure 1: Age Standardized Incidence & Mortality Rate of Invasive Cervical
	Cancer in BC
2.0	Program Overview7
	Figure 2: CCSP Screening Process Overview10
3.0	Program Results 11
3.1	Utilization 11
	Table 1: Gynecological Cytology Samples Received / Processed, 2013
	Table 2: Number of Patients with Cervical/Endocervical Pap Test Samples,2013.12
3.2	Participation Rates13
	Figure 3: Participation Rates by Age Group, 2011–201313
	Table 3: Participation Rates of Women 20-29 and 30-39 Years of Ageby HSDA, 2011-201314
	Figure 4: Participation Rates by Health Authority, 2011–201315
3.3	Screening Interval16
	Figure 5: Retention Rates by Screening Interval Recommendation, 201016
	Table 4: Retention Rates by Age Group, 2010 17
	Figure 6: 36-Month Retention Rate by Age Group over Time, 2006–201017
3.4	Quality of Pap Test Samples18
	Figure 7: Cervical Sample Quality Rates by Age Group, 2013
3.5	Screening Test Results19
	Figure 8: Abnormal Screening Test Result Distribution by Age Group, 2013 19
3.6	Follow-up of Abnormals 20
	Table 5: Follow-up Recommendations by Age Group, 2013
	Figure 9: Colposcopy Follow-up Rates for women with persistent ASCUS/LSIL Pap test result by Age Group, 201321
	Figure 10: Colposcopy Follow-up Rates for women with high grade or AGC Pap test result by Age Group, 201321
	Table 6: Cytology-Histology Agreement, 2013

3.7	Provincial Colposcopy Program	23
	Figure 11: Reason for Referral to Colposcopy Clinic, 2013	23
	Figure 12: Site of Colposcopic Investigation, 2013	23
3.8	Pre-Cancer Detection Rate	. 24
	Figure 13: Pre-Cancer Detection per 1,000 Women Screened by Age Group, 2013	. 24
3.9	Cancer Incidence	25
	Figure 14: Invasive Cervical Cancer Incidence per 100,000 by Age Group, 2008 – 2012	. 25
	Table 7: Number of Invasive Cervical Cancers by Age Group, 2008 – 2012	. 26
3.10	Screening History in Cases of Invasive Cancer	. 27
	Figure 15: Screening History of Women Diagnosed with Squamous Cell Carcinoma, 2012	. 27
	Figure 16: Screening History of Women Diagnosed with Adenocarcinoma, 2012	. 27

Appendix

1.	General Cancer Screening Program Overview	28
2.	Cervical Cancer Screening Guidelines	30
3.	Colposcopy Clinic Locations	32
4.	Colposcopy Clinic Contact Information	33
5.	Educational Materials	34
6.	Glossary	35
7.	Acknowledgments and Contributors	37
8.	CCSP/BCCA Contact Information	38
9.	CCSP/BCCA Contact Information	38

1.0 Message



Message from the Medical Director

We are pleased to present British Columbia's Cervical Cancer Screening Program's (CCSP) 2014 annual report. This report highlights the efforts and dedication of program and laboratory staff as well as the health care providers of British Columbia.

The program works in partnership with the Cervical Cancer Screening Laboratory of the Provincial Health Services Authority to ensure that appropriate screening tests are available to eligible women to reduce cervical cancer mortality and morbidity. The program reminds healthcare providers when their patients are due for screening, tracks adherence to screening recommendations, and monitors system performance and outcomes of cervical screening activities.

As demonstrated in Figure 1, cervical cancer incidence and mortality rates have remained low in British Columbia, clearly demonstrating the value of an organized population-based screening program.

In 2013, a total of 493,943 women received Pap tests and 18,325 women required further follow-up including a repeat Pap test at six months, colposcopy or other investigations. Program statistics also emphasize the importance of regular screening – 56% of the 159 patients diagnosed with cervical cancer in 2012 were 5 years or more overdue for screening or had never been screened.

The hysterectomy corrected cervical screening participation rate for women aged 21-69 years is currently 70.2%. This rate is lower for some regions in BC including urban areas like Richmond, Vancouver and the Fraser Valley. To address this, we must continue to build awareness of the benefits of regular Pap tests

We look forward to continuing to work together to provide screening to all eligible women in the prevention and early detection of cervical cancer in BC.

Dr. Dirk van Niekerk Medical Leader, Cervical Cancer Screening Program



FIGURE 1: AGE STANDARDIZED INCIDENCE & MORTALITY RATE OF INVASIVE CERVICAL CANCER IN BC

Notes: 1. Rates are standardized to the 1991 Canadian Population



Message from Director, Screening Operations

Since its introduction as British Columbia's first organized populationbased screening program in 1960, the Cervical Cancer Screening Program has played a key role in the province's cancer control strategy; successfully reducing cervical cancer rates by 70 per cent.

The Cervical Cancer Screening Program recommends women ages 21 to 69 get regular Pap tests. To reach this audience, the program promotes regular screening to healthcare professionals and the eligible population through consistent education and engagement.

The results found in this report represent the collaborative work of many. Support from community partners and stakeholders remains critical to program success, as do the contributions of our dedicated team of pathologists, cytotechnologists, colposcopists and program and laboratory staff. Their collective efforts are essential to bringing the program's life-saving service and follow-up care to the women of British Columbia.

On behalf of the Cervical Cancer Screening Program, thank you for your continued support. We hope you find this report informative and insightful, and we look forward to continue demonstrating the value of an organized population-based screening program.

Laura Gentile Operations Director, Cancer Screening

2.0 Program Overview

The BC Cancer Agency's Cervical Cancer Screening Program (CCSP) has the responsibility for overseeing cervical cancer screening in BC. In partnership with the Cervical Cancer Screening Laboratory (CCS Lab) of the Provincial Health Services Authority (PHSA) and the Provincial Colposcopy Program, the CCSP works to ensure that appropriate screening tests are available to eligible women. The program reminds healthcare providers when their patients are due for cervical screening, tracks adherence to screening recommendations, and monitors cervical screening system performance and outcomes.

The Screening Process

The Screening Process is illustrated in Figure 2 (Page 10). The process consists of four stages:

- 1. Identify and invite the target population for screening
- 2. Conduct screening examinations
- 3. Investigate abnormalities identified during screening
- 4. Send screening reminders at the appropriate interval

Evaluation

Data is collected and analyzed on an ongoing basis to monitor the Program's effectiveness and identify areas for improvement. Results of this analysis are presented in the "Program Results" section of this report. Age-specific cervical cancer incidence and mortality rates are tracked in conjunction with the BC Cancer Registry.

Promotion and Education

CCSP maintains a proactive approach to promoting the importance of Pap tests among eligible women in British Columbia. Key to this approach is the www. screeningbc.ca website; a dedicated cancer screening website featuring a mobile-friendly design, simple color-coded navigation and current information on the province's four organized screening programs – breast, cervical, colon and hereditary cancers.

The www.screeningbc.ca website is a comprehensive site where patients can access all cancer screening related information, including screening eligibility, screening procedures, and clinic and laboratory locations. The website also hosts a health care professionals section committed to keeping providers updated about current screening recommendations and to provide easy access to resources to assist with discussions about cancer screening with patients. Resources range from patient support and physician information materials, to guidelines and forms, as well as evidence-based research and publications. Our ongoing promotion activities include:

- Production of promotional tools, including brochures, posters and promotional giveaways that effectively communicate the benefits of Pap tests.
- A "@BC Cancer Agency" Twitter account that promotes relevant information about cancer screening.
- Regular presence at health fairs and events throughout the province by the BC Cancer Agency's Prevention group.

Commitment to Quality

Accreditation: The CCS Lab continues to demonstrate an ongoing commitment to providing quality patient care by following internationally recognized standards of excellence in lab practices. In 2015, the CCS Lab will participate in its third on-site accreditation inspection by the College of American Pathologists (CAP), an internationally recognized leader in laboratory quality assurance and accreditation programs. In addition, the CCS Lab has submitted an application for accreditation by the College of Physicians and Surgeons of British Columbia Diagnostic Accreditation Program (DAP). The CCS Lab was unable to be accredited by DAP previously due to DAP's lack of gynecological cytology lab standards. However, DAP has recently finalized and incorporated gynecological cytology standards into their accreditation assessments.

To ensure continuous quality improvement, the CCS Lab monitors and evaluates quality indicators and obtains clinician feedback on a regular basis through a variety of methods.

Professional Development: Continuing education is encouraged and expected for all CCS Lab staff. In addition to participating in CAP and American Society for Clinical Pathology (ASCP) educational programs, CCS Lab staff participate in organized internal education forums and cyto-morphological group discussions. Appropriate on-site resources such as cytology text books and the Acta Cytologica journal are available as educational references.

Pathologists associated with the program participate in the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons certification or equivalent programs.



Professional and Academic Activities: Professional staff members of the Cervical Cancer Screening Program (CCSP) are involved in research, professional development, and teaching related to cervical cancer screening.

The HPV FOCAL Study, evaluating primary HPV testing vs. cytology testing, remained active at the BC Cancer Agency in 2014. Of the over 25,000 metro Vancouver and Victoria women who participated, more than 16,000 have now completed the trial. It is anticipated all remaining active participants will complete the trial by the end of 2016. Every year, more health care providers across the province collaborate with the team to take FOCAL study samples from patients in their practices. To date, over 1400 clinicians in BC have been involved.

In the fall of 2014, FOCAL team members were invited by the Canadian Partnership Against Cancer to present to screening leaders from across Canada about the FOCAL trial. The investigators continue to present trial results both locally and internationally. The team intends to report for the first time, the preliminary Round 2 (exit) results at the International Papillomavirus Meeting in September 2015.



FIGURE 2: CCSP SCREENING PROCESS OVERVIEW

3.0 Program Results

3.1 Utilization

BC healthcare providers submitted a total of 535,937 gynecological Pap test samples to the CCS Lab in 2013. An additional 4,677 samples were submitted from the Yukon Territory. The program results in this report include samples from BC only.

Table 1 shows the number of gynecological Pap test samples received by 10-year age groups. The samples received include those from clinically asymptomatic women (routine screening), women with previously detected abnormalities, and a small percentage of symptomatic women. Unlabeled or improperly labeled samples were not processed. Over 98% of the samples received were from the cervix/endocervix.

TABLE 1: GYNECOLOGICAL CYTOLOGY SAMPLES RECEIVED / PROCESSED, 2013

	〈 20	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	All Ages
Number of Samples	12,287	109,633	120,872	115,748	108,501	64,845	4,024	535,937
Number of Samples Processed	11,984	107,286	118,299	113,504	106,491	63,596	3,905	525,082
(%)	97.5	97.9	97.9	98.1	98.1	98.1	97.0	98.0
Samples from Cervix Endocervix	11,959	107,016	117,637	111,668	103,265	60,528	3,134	515,224
(%)	99.8	99.7	99.4	98.4	97.0	95.2	80.3	98.1
Samples from Other Sites	25	270	662	1,836	3,226	3,068	771	9,858
(%)	0.2	0.3	0.6	1.6	3.0	4.8	19.7	1.9

NOTES:

1. CCSP data extraction date: December 1, 2014

2. Age is computed based on sample date

Table 2 shows the number and percentage of women having one, two, and three or more cervical/endocervical Pap tests in the 2013 year. Also shown in Table 2 are the number of women being screened for the first time.

TABLE 2: NUMBER OF PATIENTS WITH CERVICAL/ENDOCERVICAL PAP TEST, 2013

	〈 20	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	All Ages
Number of Patients	11,175	99,851	111,630	107,770	100,890	59,543	3,082	493,943
With 1 Sample	10,619	93,161	105,543	103,970	98,458	58,517	3,023	473,292
(%)	95.0	93.3	94.5	96.5	97.6	98.3	98.1	95.8
With 2 Samples	533	6,486	5,946	3,678	2,350	997	57	20,047
(%)	4.8	6.5	5.3	3.4	2.3	1.7	1.8	4.1
With 3+ Samples	23	204	141	122	82	29	2	604
(%)	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
New Patients	5,282	17,091	8,003	4,006	2,237	1,306	126	38,052
(%)	47.3	17.1	7.2	3.7	2.2	2.2	4.1	7.7

Notes:

1. CCSP data extraction date: December 1, 2014

2. Age is computed on patient's last Pap test

3.2 Participation Rates

The BC cervical cancer screening policy was updated in October 2011. The current policy advises women to begin screening at age 21 or approximately three years after first sexual contact, whichever occurs first. Women should continue having a Pap test once a year until they have three consecutive normal results. At that point, women should be screened every two years until age 69. At age 69, women can discontinue screening if no significant abnormality has been detected in their screening history. BC's current screening guidelines are listed in Appendix 2.

Participation rate is defined as the percent of eligible women with at least one cervical/endocervical Pap test in a three-year period. The participation rate should exclude women who have had a total hysterectomy, as most of these women do not need routine screening. In 2012, BC started using data from Statistic Canada's Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS), to correct for hysterectomy rates in BC. However, due to the survey's small sample size, the hysterectomy correction can only be applied in two ways: by 10-year age group for the entire province or by Health Authority for age 20-69 combined.

Figure 3 shows the uncorrected and corrected participation rates by age group. The uncorrected and corrected participation rates for the BC female population ages 20-69 are 61.2% and 70.2% respectively. There is considerably more variation in the uncorrected rates across the age groups, from 72.2% among women ages 30-39 to 44.5% among women ages 60-69. With correction for hysterectomy, participation is highest at 75.4% among women 40-49 years of age, and participation is lowest among women ages 20-29 at 65.4%. This illustrates the importance of correcting for hysterectomy to avoid misdirecting promotional efforts.



FIGURE 3: PARTICIPATION RATES BY AGE GROUP, 2011-2013

NOTES:

- 1. Based on weighted average of 2011, 2012 and 2013 female population estimates
- 2. Population data source: P.E.O.P.L.E 2014 (September 2014), BC STATS, Service BC, BC Ministry of Citizens' Services
- 3. Hysterectomy adjustment calculated using 2008 Canadian Community Health Survey
- 4. CCSP data extraction date: December 1, 2014
- 5. Age is computed based on patient's age in 2012

Table 3 lists the uncorrected participation rates by Health Service Delivery Area (HSDA) for the younger female population in which hysterectomy is less prevalent. HSDAs with smaller populations are susceptible to year over year participation fluctuation due to population estimate changes from Statistics Canada.

- Participation in the 20-29 age group is a challenge in the Lower Mainland - especially in Richmond, Vancouver and Fraser North Fraser North and Fraser South.
- Participation in the 30-39 age group was the lowest for Fraser East, Fraser South and Fraser North, and Thompson Caribou Shuswap.
- Although participation is generally higher in the 30-39 age group than in the 20-29 age group, the opposite occurred in some HSDAs in the Interior and Island Health and for all Northern Health HSDAs.

Health Authority	Health Service Delivery Area	20-29	30-39
Interior	East Kootenay	81.4	77.9
	Kootenay Boundary	79.3	70.8
	Okanagan	70.3	73.0
	Thompson Cariboo Shuswap	72.7	67.2
Fraser	Fraser East	58.5	62.8
	Fraser North	50.5	66.4
	Fraser South	53.5	63.0
Vancouver Coastal	Richmond	48.8	68.3
	Vancouver	54.1	74.6
	North Shore/Coast Garibaldi	73.0	81.7
Vancouver Island	South Vancouver Island	62.5	71.4
	Central Vancouver Island	71.5	67.4
	North Vancouver Island	76.3	69.2
Northern	Northwest	80.2	74.8
	Northern Interior	76.9	72.1
	Northeast	75.7	69.7
BC		65.4	72.2

TABLE 3: PARTICIPATION RATES (%) OF WOMEN 20-29 AND 30-39 YEARS OF AGE BY HSDA, 2011–2013

Notes:

1. Based on weighted average of 2011, 2012 and 2013 female population estimates

2. Population data source: P.E.O.P.L.E 2014 (September 2014), BC STATS, Service BC, BC Ministry of Citizens' Services

3. HSDA data acquired from Research Data Access Services, BC Ministry of Health

4. CCSP data extraction date: December 1, 2014

5. Age is computed based on patient's age in 2012

Figure 4 compares the hysterectomy corrected participation rate against the uncorrected rate by Health Authority. Northern Health Authority has the highest overall participation (74.1% corrected for hysterectomy), while Fraser Health Authority has the lowest (62.7% corrected for hysterectomy). Using the uncorrected rates would provide a different impression.



FIGURE 4: PARTICIPATION RATES BY HEALTH AUTHORITY, 2011–2013

Notes:

- 1. Based on weighted average of 2011, 2012 and 2013 female population estimates
- 2. Population data source: P.E.O.P.L.E 2014 (September 2014), BC STATS, Service BC, BC Ministry of Citizens' Services
- 3. Hysterectomy adjustment calculated using 2008 Canadian Community Health Survey
- 4. HA data acquired from Research Data Access Services, BC Ministry of Health
- 5. CCSP data extraction date: December 1, 2014
- 6. Age is computed based on patient's age in 2012

3.3 Screening Interval

Retention is the percentage of eligible women re-screened after a negative Pap test. Figure 5 shows the retention rate by the actual recommended screening interval. For patients with a 12-month interval recommendation, 54% returned by 18 months, and 71% of those with a 24-month recommendation returned by 30 months. The percentage of women who did not return by 48 months is 13% and 9% respectively for the 12-month and 24-month groups.



FIGURE 5: RETENTION RATES BY SCREENING INTERVAL RECOMMENDATION, 2010

Notes:

1. CCSP data extraction date: December 1, 2014

Table 4 summarizes the retention rates for women last screened in 2010 by 10year age groups. It shows that more women in their 20's are returning by 18 months, which is consistent with the recommendation to have three negative annual screens before extending to biennial screening. About 78% of women with a negative Pap test return within 36 months.

Timelist	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	20-69
Number of Patients	101,734	107,425	109,665	92,845	51,363	463,032
Re-screened by						
18 Months	42.5	38.1	35.7	34.9	31.3	37.1
24 Months	57.1	52.3	49.3	48.7	43.4	50.9
30 Months	71.4	71.6	72.4	75.3	67.9	72.1
36 Months	77.3	78.2	79.2	81.8	72.9	78.4

TABLE 4: RETENTION RATES (%) BY AGE GROUP, 2010

NOTES:

1. CCSP data extraction date: December 1, 2014

2. Age is computed based on patient's age on report date of the index Pap test

Figure 6 shows the 36-month retention rate of women ages 20-69 by 10year age groups for calendar years 2006-2010. The retention rate has been declining in every age group. The decline is largest in the 20-29 and 30-39 age groups - 3%. CCSP has been working to identify enablers and challenges in retaining participants. Work is ongoing in this area to reverse the decline seen in the last few years.





Re-Screen Rate by Year

NOTES:

1. CCSP data extraction date: December 1, 2014

2. Age is computed on patient's age on report date of the index Pap test

3.4 Quality of Pap Test Samples

Figure 7 summarizes Pap test sample quality by 10-year age groups for cervical/ endocervical samples. The percentage of samples reported as unsatisfactory or limited for interpretation are 1.6% and 2.6% respectively. This is an improvement over 2012.

The most commonly cited factor for inadequate sample is scanty sample material (91% of unsatisfactory samples and 74% of samples that are limited for interpretation). Scanty sample material is especially common in the older age groups. The next most cited reason is inflammatory exudates (7% in unsatisfactory samples and 17% in limited for interpretation samples). Multiple factors may be cited.



FIGURE 7: CERVICAL SAMPLE QUALITY RATES BY AGE GROUP, 2013

Notes:

1. CCSP data extraction date: December 1, 2014

2. Age is computed based on sample date

3.5 Screening Test Results

Cytology turnaround time is the average number of days from the date the sample is received in the CCS Lab to the date the finalized report is issued. The average turnaround time was 10 days in 2013, well below the target of 20 working days for Pap test reporting. This is a decreased from an average of 21 days in 2012.

The CCS Lab uses the international standardized Bethesda nomenclature to report Pap test results. The most severe abnormal screening test results for patients are summarized in Figure 8 and Table 5. Overall, 2.6% of Pap tests were reported as ASCUS/LSIL, 0.3% AGC, 0.3% ASC-H, and 0.4% HSIL+.



FIGURE 8: ABNORMAL SCREENING TEST RESULT DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP, 2013

Notes:

1. CCSP data extraction date: December 1, 2014

2. Age is computed based on sample date

3.6 Follow-up of Abnormal Pap Test Results

Follow-up Recommendation

The current screening guideline is to follow ASCUS/LSIL results with a repeat Pap test at six-month intervals for up to two years. Colposcopy is recommended for either persistent ASCUS/LSIL or an initial interpretation of an abnormality more severe than ASCUS/LSIL. Other procedures may be recommended on the basis of a patient's clinical condition and cytology history.

TABLE 5: FOLLOW-UP RECOMMENDATIONS BY AGE GROUP, 2013

	〈 20	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	all ages
Patients with ASCUS/LSIL	750	5,564	2,854	2,361	1,218	410	30	13,187
Repeat in 6 Months	718	5,034	2,571	2,130	1,089	378	29	11,949
(%)	95.7	90.5	90.1	90.2	89.4	92.2	96.7	90.6
Other Investigation	32	530	283	231	129	32	1	1,238
(%)	4.3	9.5	9.9	9.8	10.6	7.8	3.3	9.4
Patients with High Grade or AGC	74	1,803	1,368	1,029	592	213	59	5,138
Colposcopy and/or ECC	66	1,749	1,324	888	388	111	25	4,551
(%)	89.2	97.0	96.8	86.3	65.5	52.1	42.4	88.6
Other Investigation	8	54	44	141	204	102	34	587
(%)	10.8	3.0	3.2	13.7	34.5	47.9	57.6	11.4

NOTES:

1. CCSP data extraction date: December 1, 2014

2. Age is computed based on the date of the patient's most severe Pap test in the year

3. ECC: Endocervical Curettage

Colposcopy Follow-up Rate

The colposcopy follow-up rate is the percentage of women recommended to have a colposcopy examination that had the follow-up procedure within 12 months of the Pap test. Colposcopies performed within one week of the Pap test are excluded, as the Pap test is unlikely to be the reason for the colposcopy referral. Figures 9 and 10 show the colposcopy follow-up rate by age and their Pap test result. The 12-month follow-up rate was 81.8% for women with persistent ASCUS/LSIL Pap test results; and 84.8% for women with high grade or AGC Pap test results. Compared to the previous year, the overall follow-up rate increased slightly, by 1%.



Figure 9: Colposcopy Follow-up Rates for Women with Persistent ASCUS/LSIL Pap Test Result by Age Group, 2013





Notes for figure 9 and 10:

1. CCSP data extraction date: December 1, 2014

2. Age is computed based on patient's age on report date of the index Pap test

Cytology-Histology Agreement

The cytology-histology agreement or positive predictive value (PPV) of cytology is the percentage of positive Pap tests that have had histological confirmation of significant cervical dysplasia. This measure is an indicator of the predictive validity of a positive test. However, it is important to note the limitations of cytology and histology, i.e. specimen sampling may not be representative of the lesion, and interpretation is subject to observer variability for cytology, and to lesser extent for histology. Furthermore, there may be progression or regression of the lesion in the period between cytology and histology, particularly with mildly abnormal lesions. Histological diagnosis was based on the most severe histological diagnosis from cervical pathology reported up to one year after the Pap test. Cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) result reporting terminology is used.

83% of women with high-grade or ACG Pap test results had a histological diagnosis in the following 12 months. For those women with persistent ASCUS/LSIL that were referred for further investigation, only 79% had a subsequent histological investigation. Table 6 shows the level of cytology-histology agreement or PPV for different cytology and histology results. The PPV for CIN II or higher is 57% for high-grade or AGC, and is 27% for those ASCUS/LSIL referred for further investigation. The data for this annual report shows a slight increase in PPV over the previous period

te %
82.9
57.1
37.6
0.1
1.7
0.8

TABLE 6: CYTOLOGY-HISTOLOGY AGREEMENT, 2013

Notes:

1. CCSP data extraction date: December 1, 2014

3.7 Provincial Colposcopy Program

The Provincial Colposcopy Program consists of 26 hospital-based clinics located throughout the province. It is estimated that 97% of all colposcopy procedures performed in BC are done through the Provincial Colposcopy Program. Colposcopists affiliated with the Provincial Colposcopy Program, are certified and have agreed to use a uniform reporting system with standardized terminology. Copies of this form are sent to both the referring physician and to CCSP for incorporation into the provincial database. The data are summarized for the annual continuing medical education workshop in colposcopy, held by the Provincial Colposcopy Program.

In 2013, 16,173 colposcopy examinations were performed. A cytological abnormality was the most common reason for the colposcopy referral (see Figure 11) and the primary site of investigation was the cervix (see Figure 12).



Notes for figures 11 and 12: 1. CCSP data extraction date: December 1, 2014

3.8 Pre-Cancer Detection Rate

Pap tests can identify pre-cancerous lesions where treatment is more likely to be effective in preventing the development of cervical cancer and, thus, reducing the morbidity of treating more advanced disease. Pre-cancerous lesions are histologically confirmed CIN II or III lesions. The pre-cancer detection rate is influenced by a number of factors, such as the screening test, the population's risk profile, and the screening coverage.

Figure 13 shows the pre-cancer detection rate for women ages 20-69 by 10year age groups. The pre-cancer detection rate in 2013 for women ages 20-69 in BC is 6.2 per 1,000. This is an important indicator to monitor over time as the environment changes in screening participation, HPV vaccination, and screening policies. 2011 and 2012 pre-cancer detection rates were 6.3% and 6.9% respectively.





NOTES:

1. CCSP data extraction date: December 1, 2014

2. Age is computed based on the date of the patient's most severe Pap test result in the year

3.9 Cancer Incidence

New invasive cervical cancers diagnosed in 2008 to 2012 were identified from the British Columbia Cancer Registry and the data collected by the CCSP. The age-specific cancer incidence rates for 2008-2012 are presented in Figure 14, and the cancer counts are shown in Table 7. Figure 14 shows that invasive cervical cancers are rare in women ages 20-29.



FIGURE 14: INVASIVE CERVICAL CANCER INCIDENCE PER 100,000 BY AGE GROUP, 2008 – 2012

Notes:

1. Population data source: P.E.O.P.L.E 2014 (September 2014), BC STATS, Service BC, BC Ministry of Citizens' Services

2. CCSP data extraction date: December 1, 2014

3. Age is computed based on date of diagnosis

	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	
2012 Number of cases							
All cell types	11	32	44	26	22	24	
Squamous cell only	6	25	30	19	17	19	
ncidence rate (per 100,000)							
All cell types	3.6	10.6	13.1	7.6	8.5	8.9	
Squamous cell only	1.9	8.3	8.9	5.5	6.5	7.1	
011 Number of cases							
All cell types	12	42	50	29	25	17	
Squamous cell only	9	30	33	21	20	14	
ncidence rate (per 100,000)							
All cell types	3.8	13.9	14.5	8.3	9.8	6.3	
Squamous cell only	2.8	10.0	9.5	6.0	7.8	5.2	
010 Number of cases							
All cell types	9	37	61	29	21	17	
Squamous cell only	5	24	44	22	14	12	
ncidence rate (per 100,000)							
All cell types	2.8	12.3	17.5	8.5	8.6	6.5	
Squamous cell only	1.6	8.0	12.6	6.4	5.7	4.6	
009 Number of cases							
All cell types	12	42	43	29	19	26	
Squamous cell only	11	27	25	22	12	25	
ncidence rate (per 100,000)							
All cell types	3.9	14.1	12.3	8.7	8.2	10.2	
Squamous cell only	3.6	9.1	7.1	6.6	5.2	9.8	
008 Number of cases	•••••						
All cell types	10	26	48	34	19	23	
Squamous cell only	6	16	38	25	13	16	
ncidence rate (per 100,000)							
All cell types	3.4	8.8	13.7	10.4	8.6	9.2	
Squamous cell only	2.0	5.4	10.8	7.7	5.9	6.4	

TABLE 7: NUMBER OF INVASIVE CERVICAL CANCERS BY AGE GROUP, 2008 – 2012

NOTES:

1. Population data source: P.E.O.P.L.E 2014 (September 2013), BC STATS, Service BC, BC Ministry of Citizens' Services

2. CCSP data extraction date: December 1, 2014

3. Age is computed based on date of diagnosis

3.10 Screening History in Cases of Invasive Cancer

Screening history of women diagnosed with invasive cancer is summarized in Figure 15 and 16 for squamous cell carcinomas and adenocarcinoma respectively. As Pap tests performed within six months prior to the invasive cancer diagnosis are less likely to be done for screening purpose, these Pap samples are disregarded in the categorization of screening history.

Figure 15 shows that 65% of patients with squamous cell carcinoma are "inactive" screening participants (>5 years or no screening history with CCSP), 12% are "under screened" (>3 to 5 years), and 22% are "active" screening participants (0.5 to 3 years). Figure 16 shows that 36% of patients with adenocarcinoma are "inactive" screening participants (>5 years or no screening history with CCSP), 23% are "under screened" (>3 to 5 years), and 41% are "active" screening participants (0.5 to 3 years). Although the number of invasive cancers is not significantly different in the 20-29 age group, the proportion screened in the last 5 years is increased.

In total, about 56% of the 159 patients diagnosed with invasive cervical cancer in 2012 were screened more than 5 years ago, or did not have a screening history.



FIGURE 15: SCREENING HISTORY OF WOMEN DIAGNOSED WITH SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA, 2012



FIGURE 16: SCREENING HISTORY OF WOMEN DIAGNOSED WITH ADENOCARCINOMA, 2012

1. CCSP data extraction date: December 1, 2014

Notes for figures 15 and 16:

Appendix 1 — General Cancer Screening Program Overview

Definition of Screening

Screening is a prevention strategy. Primary cancer prevention strategies involve changes of behavior or habits that reduce a risk, for example, stopping smoking, fat reduction in the diet, etc. Screening for cancer is a secondary prevention strategy. Secondary cancer prevention strategies target disease in process.¹ A secondary prevention can reduce cancer morbidity and mortality by diagnosing invasive disease at an earlier prognostic stage; and, detecting precursor lesions associated with some cancers that once eliminated, prevent progression to invasive disease. Screening is "the application of various tests to apparently healthy individuals to sort out those who probably have risk factors or are in the early stages of specified conditions."²

Limitations of Screening

The decision to screen an at-risk population for pre-clinical signs of cancer is based on well-established criteria related to cancer and the screening tests that we use to identify individuals who may have occult disease.^{3,4,5}

The overall objective of an organized screening program is to reduce morbidity and mortality from cancer. The goal of screening is to "apply a relatively simple, inexpensive test to a large number of persons in order to classify them as likely or unlikely to have the cancer". The emphasis on likelihood underscores the limits of what should be expected from screening (i.e., screening tests are not diagnostic tests).

A person with an abnormal screening test does not have a definitive diagnosis until additional, more sophisticated diagnostic tests are completed. The emphasis on likelihood is also important because screening tests are inherently limited in their accuracy, which varies by test, cancer site, and individual characteristics. Although most of screening interpretations are accurate, it is inevitable that some individuals are identified as possibly having cancer when they do not, and screening tests fail to identify some individuals who do not have the disease.

The comparative evaluation of accuracy versus error cannot be considered in absolute terms, but rather should be evaluated in terms of the relative consequences of one or the other kind of error.

Organized Screening Program

To reduce morbidity and mortality from cancer in a population by screening, there must be coordinated and effective strategies to ensure acceptance and utilization of the established screening test. Since screening is targeted at asymptomatic individuals, the fine balance between maximizing benefits and minimizing undesirable effects must be maintained.

An organized approach to screening ensures that the target population has access to the screening service and that it accepts and uses the services offered. This is achieved by including the following six program components:

- 1. Health Promotion
- 2. Professional Development/Education
- 3. Recruitment & Retention
- 4. Screening Test & Reporting
- 5. Follow-up
- 6. Evaluation/Research Partnerships

The success of screening is a shared responsibility of the team of individuals working together to develop goals, set standards, monitor progress, and continue improvement in each of the six components.

¹ US Preventive Services Task Force: Guide to Clinical Preventive Services, Ed 2. Baltimore, Williams & Wilkins, 1996

² Morrison A: Screening in Chronic Disease. New York, Oxford Press, 1992

³ Cole P, Morrison AS: Basic issues in cancer screening. In Miller AB (ed); Screening in Cancer. Geneva, International Union Against Cancer, 1978, p7

⁴ Miller AB; Fundamentals of Screening. In Screening for Cancer. Orlando, Academic Press, 1985, p3

⁵ Wilson JMG, Junger G; Principles and Practice of Screening for Disease. Geneva, World Health Organization, 196

Appendix 2 — Cervical Cancer Screening Guidelines

Cervical Cancer Screening Clinical Practice Guidelines



BC Cancer Agency CARE + RESEARCH An againcy of the Provincial Health Services Author

Screening Initiation

Cervical cancer screening should begin at age 21 or approximately three years after first sexual contact, whichever occurs first. Sexual contact includes intercourse as well as digital or oral sexual contact involving the genital area with a partner of either gender.

The guideline of screening initiation at age 21 provides a way for healthcare providers to offer cervical screening and have a discussion about sexual history. Unfortunately, some women may be reluctant to share information about previous sexual contacts with their healthcare provider. This may be due to a number of reasons, such as embarrassment, fear of disclosing premarital sexual relationship(s), or a history of sexual abuse or assault. A woman's choice to be screened or not should always be respected.

Women who have never had any sexual contact do not need to be screened.

Screening Interval

Repeat Pap tests every 12 months until there are three consecutive negative results, then continue at 24-month intervals.

Discontinue Screening

Women older than 69 years should discontinue screening if they have had at least three negative Pap tests in the past 10 years, with no previous history of biopsy confirmed significant abnormalities (CIN*2 or CIN 3, AIS** or invasive cervical cancer).

Women older than 69 who have never been screened, should be screened with three annual Pap tests. If results are negative, discontinue screening.

HPV vaccination is recommended for females between nine and 26 years of age. For National Advisory Committee on Immunization (NACI) guidelines visit: www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/publicat/ccdr-rmtc/o7vol33/acs-o2/index-eng.php

* CIN - cervical intraepithelial neoplasia

** AIS - adenocarcinoma in situ

A woman with a visibly abnormal cervix or abnormal bleeding should be referred appropriately, regardless of the Pap test findings

Screening Women with Special Circumstances

- Women should follow regular guidelines for screening if they (1) received the HPV vaccine, (2) are lesbian or (3) are pregnant.
- Women with immunosuppression should be screened annually. This includes women with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV/AIDS), lymphoproliferative disorders, an organ transplant, and women under long-term immunosuppression therapy.
- Women currently being assessed by a colposcopy clinic or being followed by a cancer clinic should not undergo additional Pap testing unless being directed by the treating physician.
- Women who have ever had biopsy confirmed CIN 2, CIN 3, AIS or invasive cervical cancer should be screened annually thereafter.
- Women who have had a hysterectomy with the cervix removed
 and have a history of invasive cervical cancer, should have a vault smear annually thereafter;
- and have a history of CIN 2, CIN 3 or AIS, should have a vault smear until there are three consecutive negative results in a three-year period, then discontinue screening;
- due to benign disease, may discontinue screening if adequate pathological documentation exists that the cervix has been removed completely and there is no history of biopsy confirmed CIN 2, CIN 3, AIS or invasive cervical cancer.
- Women who have undergone subtotal hysterectomy and retained their cervix should continue with screening according to the guidelines.



Cervical Cancer Screening Results and Recommended Management





Appendix 4 — Colposcopy Clinic Contact Information

Abbotsford	604-851-4700
Comox	250-339-2242
Duncan	250-746-4141
Kamloops	250-374-5111
Kelowna	250-862-4000
Langley	604-514-6069
Maple Ridge	604-463-4111
Nanaimo	250-754-2141
New Westminster	604-520-4253
North Vancouver	604-988-3131
Penticton	250-492-4000
Powell River	604-485-3211
Prince George	250-565-2521
Prince Rupert	250-624-2171
Richmond	604-278-9711
Sechelt	604-885-2224
Surrey	604-581-2211
Terrace	250-635-2211
Trail	250-368-3311
Vancouver	
St. Paul's Hospital	604-682-2344 ext 62436
Vancouver Hospital & Health Sciences Centre	604-875-5022
Vernon	250-558-1347
Victoria	250-370-8619
White Rock	604-535-4503
White Horse	867-393-8915
Williams Lake	250-392-4411
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	

Appendix 5 — Educational Materials

Education materials for health care providers and women are available at no charge from the Cervical Cancer Screening Program.

For health care providers

- Educational video (online or DVD) A Women-Centered Approach to Cervical Cancer Screening
- Information cards on the following:
 - Cervical Cancer Screening Clinical Practice Guidelines
 - Pap Sampling Technique

For women

- Brochures about Pap tests and HPV
- Booklets about cervical cancer and abnormal results
- Posters
- Postcards
- Calendar reminder stickers

Educational materials online

Educational materials and the order form are available at: www.screeningbc.ca/cervix

Appendix 6 — Glossary

Age-Standardized Incidence Rate

Age-standardized incidence rate is the weighted average of the age-range specific incidence rates, where the weights are the proportions of people in the corresponding age groups of the 1991 Canadian population.

Age - Standardized Incidence Rate =
$$\sum_{i} \left(\frac{Ca_{i}}{Pop_{i}} \times weight_{i} \times 100,000 \right)$$

Where Ca_i is the number of cervical cancers detected in a given year for age group *i*, pop_i is the BC female population in a given year for age group *i*, and weight_i is the proportion of people in age group *i* of the 1991 Canadian population.

• Age-Standardized Mortality Rate

Age-standardized mortality rate is the weighted average of the age-range specific mortality rates, where the weights are the proportions of people in the corresponding age groups of the 1991 Canadian population.

Age - Standardized Mortality Rate =
$$\sum_{i} \left(\frac{\text{Deaths}_{i}}{\text{Pop}_{i}} \times \text{weight}_{i} \times 100,000 \right)$$

Where $Deaths_i$ is the number of cervical cancer deaths in a given year for age group *i*, pop_i is the BC female population in a given year for age group *i*, and weight_i is the proportion of people in age group *i* of the 1991 Canadian population.

Incidence Rate

Incidence rate is the proportion of women in the population who develop cervical cancer in a given year, expressed as the number of deaths per 100,000 people.

Incidence Rate = $\frac{\text{Number of cervical cancer detected in a given year}}{\text{BC female population in a given year}} \times 100,000$

Mortality Rate

Mortality rate is the proportion of women in the population who died of cervical cancer in a given year, expressed as the number of deaths per 100,000 people at risk.

Mortality Rate = $\frac{\text{Number of cervical cancer deaths in a given year}}{\text{BC female population in a given year}} \times 100,000$

• Participation Rate

BC Overall

Proportion of women in the BC female population (20-69 years of age) had a Pap test sample taken from the cervix and/or endocervix and processed at least once over a three-year period. Age is calculated in year two of the reporting period.

Participation Rate = $\frac{\text{Number of women (age 20 - 69) with at least one Pap test in a 3 - year period}}{\text{Number of women in the BC (age 20 - 69) population at year two}} \times 100$

BC Adjusted for Hysterectomy

Proportion of women out of the target BC female population (20-69 years of age) without hysterectomy had a Pap test sample taken from the cervix and/or endocervix and processed at least once over a three-year period. The BC female population without hysterectomy is computed using the hysterectomy rates estimated from the 2008 Canadian Community Health Survey.

• Positive Predictive Value

Proportions of Pap test samples with significant cytology findings and have histological confirmation of cervical abnormality out of those samples with significant cytology and had follow-up investigation with pathological result. Surveillance with repeat Pap test only is not regarded as follow-up investigation.

PPV = Number of samples with significant pathology and cytology findings Number of samples with significant cytology findings, investigated and has pathological diagnosis

• Pre-Cancer Detection Rate

Number of pre-cancerous lesions detected per 1,000 women who had a Pap test in a 12-month period.

Pre - Cancer Detection Rate = $\frac{\text{Number of women with histology CIN II and CIN III}}{\text{Number of women who had at least one Pap test}} \times 1,000$

• Retention Rate

Proportion of women with a negative sample returned for Pap test.

Rescreen Rate = $\frac{\text{Number of women returned for Pap test after an index sample with negative result}}{\text{Number of women with a negative sample eligible to return for Pap test}}$

Appendix 7 — Acknowledgments and Contributors

The Cervical Cancer Screening Program would like to thank its partners who have supported and contributed to the Program over the years. The success of the Program depends on an integrated system of:

- Community health professionals taking the cervical Pap test samples
- Dedicated and highly trained staff to process and read the slides
- Community facilities providing space and personnel to support regional colposcopy clinics
- Medical specialists to provide colposcopy follow-up and treatment

We would also like to thank the following organizations for their ongoing support:

- All hospitals participating in the Provincial Colposcopy Program
- BC Centre for Disease Control
- BC College of Registered Nurses
- BC Medical Association
- BC Naturopathic Association
- BC Women's Hospital and Health Centre
- Canadian Cancer Society
- First Nations Health Council
- SFU Faculty of Health Sciences
- UBC Faculty of Medicine
- Women's Health Bureau

Contributors (Alphabetical Listing):

- Mr. Beidemariam Bekele, Project Manager Screening Promotions
- Ms. Laura Gentile, Operations Director, Cancer Screening
- Mr. Jeremy Hamm, Biostatistician, Surveillance and Outcomes Unit
- Ms. Ritinder Harry, Screening Promotions Leader
- Ms. Lisa Kan, Senior Director, Cancer Screening
- Dr. Marette Lee, Program Director, Provincial Colposcopy Program
- Ms. Jane Lo, Chief Cytotechnologist, Cervical Cancer Screening Laboratory
- Mr. Javis Lui, Coordinator, Screening Promotions
- Ms. Remy Malong, Program Secretary
- Mr. Keith Quon, Promotion Specialist, Web & Social Media
- Ms. Laurie Smith, Manager, HPV Focal Study
- Dr. Dirk van Niekerk, Medical Leader, Cervical Cancer Screening Program

Appendix 8 – Publications and Presentations

Publications

1. Smith LW, Khurshed F, van Niekerk DJ, Krajden M, Greene SB, Hobbs S, Coldman AJ, Franco EL, Ogilvie GS. Women's intentions to self-collect samples for human papillomavirus testing in an organized cervical cancer screening program. BMC Public Health. 2014 Oct 10;14:1060. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-14-1060. PubMed PMID: 25303975; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4203923.

2. Guillaud M, Buys TP, Carraro A, Korbelik J, Follen M, Scheurer M, Storthz KA, van Niekerk D, MacAulay CE. Evaluation of HPV infection and smoking status impacts on cell proliferation in epithelial layers of cervical neoplasia. PLoS One. 2014 Sep 11;9(9):e107088. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0107088. eCollection 2014. PubMed PMID: 25210770; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4161429.

3. Li G, van Niekerk D, Miller D, Ehlen T, Garnis C, Follen M, Guillaud M, Macaulay C. Molecular fixative enables expression microarray analysis of microdissected clinical cervical specimens. Exp Mol Pathol. 2014 Apr;96(2):168-77. doi: 10.1016/j. yexmp.2013.12.007. Epub 2014 Jan 8.

Presentations

1. Van Niekerk, D, "Lower Anogenital Squamous Terminology – The LAST word?" Society of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Annual Clinical and Scientific Conference, June 10 to June 13, 2014, Niagara Falls, ON

2. Van Niekerk, D. "Cervical Cancer Screening Guidelines" BC College of Family Physicians. 2014 Spring Family Medicine Conference, May 23 to May 24, Vancouver, BC

3. Ogilvie GS, Smith LW, Cook DA, van Niekerk DJ, Krajden M, Ehlen T, Stuart G, Martin R, Peacock S, Franco EL, Coldman AJ. "Incident HPV infection rates at 48 months for HPV negative women in the HPV FOCAL Trial" IPV 2014, August 2014, Seattle, USA

4. Ogilvie GS, van Niekerk D, Krajden M, Ceballos K, Cook D, Ehlen TG, Martin RE, Peacock S, Smith LW, Stuart GCE, Franco EL, Coldman AJ. "HPV FOCAL: Final round 1 results from a Canadian population based screening trial" IPV 2014, August 2014, Seattle, USA

5. Coldman A, Phillips N, van Niekerk D, Smith L, Peacock S, Krajden M, Cook D, Quinlan D, Ehlen T, Miller D, Stuart G, Martin R, Franco EL, Ogilvie G. "Impact of primary HPV testing on demand for colposcopy services in a population screening program" IPV 2014, August 2014, Seattle, USA

6. Smith LW, Khurshed F, van Niekerk DJ, Krajden M, Greene SB, Hobbs S, Coldman AJ, Franco EL, Ogilvie GS. "Assessing women's intentions to self-collect cervical specimens for HPV testing in a Canadian organized cervical cancer screening program" IPV 2014, August 2014, Seattle, USA

7. Smith LW, Khurshed F, van Niekerk DJ, Krajden M, Greene SB, Hobbs S, Coldman AJ, Franco EL, Ogilvie GS. "Women's intentions to self-collect samples for human papillomavirus testing in an organized cervical cancer screening program" BMC Public Health. 2014; 14: 1060. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2458-14-1060

Appendix 9 – CCSP/BCCA Contact Information

Jason Dadswell Screening Registry Leader Phone: 604-877-6000 Ext 674846 Email: jdadswell@bccancer.bc.ca

Dr. Nick Foster Chief Operating Officer & Vice-President BC Cancer Agency Phone: 604-877-6131 Email: nick.foster@bccancer.bc.ca

Laura Gentile Operations Director, Cancer Screening Program Phone: 604-707-5913 Email: laura.gentile@bccancer.bc.ca

Jeremy Hamm Biostatistician, Surveillance & Outcomes Phone: (604) 707-5900 ext 674843 Email: jhamm@bccancer.bc.ca

Ritinder Harry Screening Promotions Leader Phone: (604) 877-6000 ext 674836 Email: Ritinder.Harry@bccancer.bc.ca

Lisa Kan Senior Director, Cancer Screening Program Phone: 604-877-6201 Email: Ikan@bccancer.bc.ca

Administration Office #801– 686 West Broadway Vancouver, BC V5Z 1G1 Phone: 604-877-6200 **Dr. Marette Lee** Program Director, Provincial Colposcopy Program Phone: (604) 875-5608 Email: Marette.Lee@vch.ca

Jane Lo Chief Cytotechnologist, CCSP Phone: (604) 877-6000 ext 674907 Email: jlo@bccancer.bc.ca

Javis Lui Coordinator, Screening Promotions Phone: (604) 707-5907 Email: Javis.Lui@bccancer.bc.ca

Keith Quon Promotion Specialist, Web & Social Media Phone: (604) 877-6000 ext 674622 Email: Keith.Quon@bccancer.bc.ca

Laurie Smith Manager, HPV FOCAL Study Phone: (604) 877-6000 ext 674829 Email: lsmith3@bccancer.bc.ca

Dr. Dirk van Niekerk Medical Leader, CCSP Phone: (604) 877-6000 ext 672068 Email: dvanniek@bccancer.bc.ca

