# Sentinel Lymph Node Biopsy in Other Tumours

Dr. Rona Cheifetz Surgical Oncology Update November 24, 2006

# Sentinel Lymph Node Biopsy in Other Tumours:

#### An Operation Looking for an Application

Dr. Rona Cheifetz Surgical Oncology Update November 24, 2006

### Methodology

- Initial planned review of literature for SLNBx non melanoma non breast
- Secondary review by cross referencing SLNBx with every known site of malignancy

#### Results

- With the exception of primary hepatobiliary tumours and brain tumours sentinel lymph node mapping has been described for every other form of malignancy
- Thus the subtitle of my second title page

"It is less important to invent new operations and new techniques of operating than to find ways and means to avoid surgery"

> Bernhard von Langenbeck 1810-1887

### **Key Questions to Consider**

- Does knowledge of the lymph node status change the type of surgery done?
- Does knowledge of the lymph node status change the adjuvant treatment offered?
  Does early detection of microscopic nodal
- involvement impact survival or local control?
- Is sentinel node assessment cost effective?

## **SLNB in Colon Cancer**

- Multiple feasibility studies (common cancer, general surgery field) first 1997
- Will not impact extent of surgery
- May upstage patients allowing selective adjuvant rx (30% of stage I/II recur)
- Variable techniques: in vivo/ex vivo(compared), submucosal/subserosal (not compared), colloid/dye (not compared), nonstandardized definition of SN

## **SLNB in Colon Cancer**

- ID rates range from 58-98%
- Wide range of FN rates 0-60%
- Steep learning curve (30 cases)
- May upstage up to 40% of patients
- High rate of skip metastases in large tumours

Bertagnolli M et al. Ann Surg 2004;240(4):624-28 (multicentre) Terwisscha van Scheltinga SE et al. Scan J of Gastroent 2006; 243: 153.7 Smith J et al. Am J Surg. 2006 191(5):665-668 Saha S et al. Am J Surg 2006 191(3): 305-10 (multicentre)

#### **SLNB in Colon Cancer**

- Outcome study
- 153 patients followed for median of 5 years (minimum 2 years)
- Recurrence rate 7%
- Compared to 162 patients conventional surg
- Recurrence rate 25%
- Significant for both node + and node Saha S et al. Am J Surg 2006 191(3): 305-10 (multicentre)

### **SLNB in Rectal Cancer**

- Limited by need TME and path assessment of radial margin but may aid in path identification of SN for enhanced pathology
- May ID lateral nodes in low rectal cancers with radiocolloid
- Higher failure rate if neoadjuvant rx Saha S et al. Am J Surg 2006 191(3): 305-10

## **SLNB in Anal Cancer**

- Review of 5 published series involving 84 patients (most with 15 patients each)
- ID 66-100%
- SLN positive 7-42%
- May guide adjuvant radiation or node dissection

Damin DC. Eur J Surg Onc 2006; 32(3):247-52

# **SLNB in Gastric Cancer**

- Multiple studies from Japan
- Early gastric cancer (60-80% of their cases) to define extent of lymphadenectomy since <5% nodal involvement with mucosal only tumour
- 37 patients T1-2N0 endoscopic injection of blue dye and radiocolloid
- ID rate 94.6%, sens 75%, spec 100%, accur 97%
- Problems with shine-thru Tonouchi H et al. World J Surg 2005 29(4):418-21

## **SLNB in Gastric Cancer**

- 59 patients T1-3N0
- Endoscopic injection of radiocolloid
- D2 nodal dissection for all patients, H&E
- ID rate 96%, sens 83.3%, spec 100%, accuracy 92.9%, FN 16.6% (0% in T1)
- Sens T1>T2>T3 (100% vs 62.5%)
- 100% correct ID of drainage basin Mochiki et al. Am J Surg. 2006; 191(4): 465-69

### **SLNB in Pancreatic Cancer**

- Surprisingly little literature
- Evidence demonstrates that the SLN in Ca of the head of the pancreas is the posterior pancreatic duodenal node.
- Status of this node reflects para-aortic nodal status (may limit surgery)

### **SLNB in Thyroid Cancer**

- Feasibility studies first in 1998
- Review of literature published 2002
- ID rate 91% (66-100%)
- Accuracy when identified 80 –100%(?)
- Pitfalls-parathyroids, mediastinum, shine-thru

Wiseman SM. Surg Onc 2002 11(3):137-42

# **SLNB in Thyroid Cancer**

- Why bother?
- No need to extend incision to clear central neck
- No impact on survival demonstrated from nodal involvement overall
- Perhaps to guide selective neck dissection of lateral compartment in skip metastases
- Perhaps to select patients for adjuvant rx

# SLNB in Urologic Malignancy

- Despite initial reports of node mapping in penile cancer surprisingly little literature
- cN0 have 25% nodal mets
- 10 year study published in 2005
- 140 N0 Colloid and Blue dye
- Median F/U 52 months
- 138/140 ID rate
- Isolated metastases in SLN in 78% of cases

# SLNB in Urologic Malignancy

- FN rate 16% (unclear how calculated)
- 8% complication rate
- 5 year disease specific survival 96% if node negative, 66% if node positive

Kroon BK. Eur Urology 2005 47(5): 601-6

# SLNB in Urologic Malignancy

- Bladder cancer
- Lymph node dissection is standard component of radical cystectomy
- 2 studies published show high FN rate 19% but also note high rate of nodal mets outside usual obturator basin
   Leiberg. J Urology 2006. 175(1): 84-8

Sherif A. J Urology 2001. 166(3): 812-5

# SLNB in Gynecologic Cancer

Feasibility and outcome studies

- 2006 report on 21 pts with vulvar cancer
- 27 SLNBx (some bilat) with 3 positive
  Median f/u of 4.6 vrs
- None of SLN neg had died of cancer
- and no distant or regional recurrence
   3yr DFS 90% for all, 100% for SLN neg Terada KY. Gyn Oncol. 2006 102(2):200-3

# SLNB in Gynecologic Cancer

- 2004 review
- 12 studies with 353 cases of vulvar cancer
- ID rate of 92% and NPV 99%
- FN rate <1% based on clinical recurrence
- 12 studies with 323 cases of cervical cancer
- ID rate 80-86% and NPV 99% and FN rate <1%</li>
- Radiocolloid better than blue dye alone Plante M et al. Oncology. 2004;18(1): 87

# SLNB in NSCC of Lung

- Feasibility studies
- 110 patients
- Radiocolloid- ID 100%, sens 87%, NPV 93% using IHC: sens 74% and NPV 89% using H&E only
- Blue dye-ID 27%, sens 67%

Rzyman W. Ann Thor Surg 2006; 82(1): 237-42 Rzyman W. Eur J Surg Onc. 2006; 32(4): 462-5

### SLNB in NSCC of Lung

 Upstaging demonstrated in study using PCR

Pulte D Cancer 2005 104(7): 1453-61

- But no impact on extent of surgery demonstrated
- Impact on adjuvant treatment?

# **SLNB in Esophageal Cancer**

- Mostly feasibility studies
- Controversial since no demonstrated survival advantage to esophagectomy with lymphadenectomy vs transhiatal esophagectomy
- Perhaps to ID patients with thoracic tumours who require cervical node dissection

### SLNB in Mucosal Head and Neck Cancer

- Published series on application in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma
- Feasibility studies only
- Impact on local control and survival unknown
- International conference reviewed results on clinical N0 from 22 centres involving 379 patients

Stoecki SJ. Ann of Surg 2005 12(11):919-24

#### SLNB in Mucosal Head and Neck Cancer

- ID 97%
- Node + rate 29%
- FN rate 4%
- NPV 96%
- Multiple SNs and individual drainage patternsCould be used to direct neck dissection

Stoecki SJ. Ann of Surg 2005 12(11):919-24

#### **SLNB in Sarcoma**

- Very little in the literature
- Case reports on the application of SLN mapping in those soft tissue sarcomas associated with a higher incidence of nodal metastases
  - Clear cell ('melanoma of soft parts')
    Epitheliod
    - Synovial Cell, Vascular, Rhabdomyosarcoma

## SLNB in Non-Melanoma Skin Cancer

- Merkel Cell Carcinoma
- Meta-analysis of 122 pts
- 32% rate of SLN +
- 3yr RR of 60% if N+ vs 20% if N-
- N+ with adj rx to nodal basin had 3yr DFS of 51% vs 0% if no adjuvant rx
- N- no benefit with adjuvant rx Gupta SG. Arch Dermatol. 2006 142(6):685-90

## SLNB in Non-Melanoma Skin Cancer

- Cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma
- High cure rate with local treatment but bad outcome with clinical node +
- Feasibility studies for high risk patients (size, depth, recurrent, immunocomp, etc)
- Small numbers but technically feasible

#### Conclusions

- Majority of literature is feasibility studies which do not address the critical questions
- Application of sentinel node techniques should be done in setting of clinical trial powered to detect outcome differences (to justify cost)
- Be cautious if you are asked to do mapping outside of breast and melanoma setting